

# TUCSON EDUCATIONAL POLICY COMMITTEE

## Agenda

Wednesday February 6, 2019

4:30-6:00pm

Rm 3230

### AGENDA ITEMS

#### Announcements:

1. Educational Leadership Committee (Lebensohn)
2. Subcommittee updates: TCMS, TCCS, Exam review, Evaluation, Electives
3. Call to Audience (Lebensohn)
4. Clerkship Overlap Update (Elliott)
5. LCME Visit Update (Givens)
6. TEPC Policy Revisions Taskforce (de Leon)

#### Voting Items:

1. Minutes from January 16, 2019 Meeting (Lebensohn) (Attachment 1)
2. Removing "Automatic" From All Automatic Dismissal Language in Policies - Attachment 2 (Lebensohn)
3. Ad Hoc Task Force for Clerkship Year Time Allocation and Time Off (Sanders)

#### Presentation/Discussion:

1. Evaluation Process Presentation (Cho)
2. Alternate Student Members Update Announcement (Students)
3. New TEPC Members / Fill Vacancies (Lebensohn)
4. SPC Grade Appeal Process Update (Elliott)
5. Medical leave policy not count in 6<sup>th</sup> year – Attachment 3 (Moher)

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS			
	Items(s)/Timeframe	Time Frame	Assigned to
	Foundations and MSS Quick Feedback Reports – Feb. 2019	Feb. 20	McIntosh/Cho
	Changes to Advanced Topics	Feb. 20	Elliott
	2020/2021 Academic Calendar Presentation (Vote) - Attachment	Feb. 20	De Leon
	DMH Block Change – Attachment	Feb. 20	Woolridge
	LCME	March	Givens
	Faculty Assessment of Student Performance form – Electives	Future	Cho/Warneke
	Proposal for Restructuring of Basic Science Curriculum – Pilot in Neuro	Future	Vanderah
	OB-GYN Clerkship Review	Future	Cho
	Transition to Residency Curriculum	Future	Elliott

## TUCSON EDUCATIONAL POLICY COMMITTEE

**Meeting Attendance**  
**Wed., Jan. 16, 2019**  
**4:30-6:00pm, Rm 3230**

MEETING ATTENDEES			
Voting Members		Resource Members	
Art Sanders	X	Ah Ra Cho	X
Dawn Coletta	X	Athena Ganchorre	
Elle Campbell (2019)	X	Carlos Gonzales	
Jim Warneke	X	Emily Leyva	X
Joe Morales (2022)	X	George Fantry	X
Jordana Smith	X	JD Thomas	X
Josh Yell (2021)	X	Jennifer Yelich	
Kathy Smith	X	Jerie Schulz	X
Larry Moher	X	Kadian McIntosh	X
Lindsey Lepoidevin (2020)		Karen Spear Ellinwood	
Maria Czuzak		Kevin Moynahan	X
Patricia Lebensohn	X	Kris Slaney	X
Stephen Wright		Kristie Bowen	
Zoe Cohen	X	Raquel Givens	X
		Sean Elliott	X
		Sonia de Leon	X
		Tanisha Price-Johnson	
		Winifred Blumenkron	X
		Guests:	
		Dave Bear	X
		Indu Partha	X

## Meeting Minutes

### Announcements:

- Educational Leadership Committee – Items to be discussed at the next ELC meeting include the proposal to change the remediation policy, the appeal of grades to SPC, LCME update, and a decelerated curriculum for students who are identified early as not being likely to succeed in their first year.
- Subcommittee Updates –
  - TCMS:* Remediation Proposal coming to TEPC.
  - TCCS:* Nothing at this time.
  - Electives:* Discussed the change in policy of grades from pass/fail to include high pass. A mid year review showed it was not being used frequently. It will be reassessed once there is a full year of grades.
  - Evaluation:* Dr. Cho stated she will be looking at the current structure of the Evaluation Subcommittee, and assess how to restructure it to include more faculty and student involvement.

**3. Call to Audience –**

- a. Dr. Sanders proposed forming a special subcommittee to look at the Clerkship year, how it has been reallocated, and how it can be formulated to give students vacation time. The class of 2021 has 4 weeks of Intersessions, the class of 2022 has 3 weeks of Intersessions, and the idea would be to look at options and plan for the class of 2023. Dr. Elliott stated there is ample time to review this. This will be placed on a future meeting agenda.
- b. Dr. Moher would like the medical leave policy to not count in their 6<sup>th</sup> year. This will be placed on a future meeting agenda.
- c. Mrs. de Leon suggested creating a task force for revising the grading and progression policy. This will be placed on a future meeting agenda.

**4. Clerkship Overlap Update –**

Dr. Elliott stated that everything is on schedule and in place for the overlap, and we continue to recruit clinical sites and faculty. The addition of David Dawley (the new Clinical Preceptor Recruiter in Curricular Affairs) is streamlining the DDC status process and working on new faculty appointments. Dr. Cho added that new evaluations will be added during the overlap to measure student performance, which will allow us to make rapid changes.

**5. LCME –**

Mrs. Givens announced that the COM received the team report with their findings that will be given to the LCME. An accuracy check of the findings and data was conducted and is correct. Overall, there were no surprises. The LCME will meet in February 2019 to review the report and make their final determination of our accreditation status at the end of February. We expect we will receive satisfactory monitoring for most of the areas.

**Voting Items:****1. Minutes from November 28, 2019**

A vote was taken and the minutes were approved.

**2. Alternate Student Members**

There are times that student members are unable to attend TEPC. In order to provide enough representation, it has been proposed to elect a student alternative for each class. All eight students would be permitted to attend meetings, but only one rep from each class may vote. The Bylaws do not need to be changed.

A vote was taken, and TEPC approved this proposal.

**Presentation/Discussion:****1. New Faculty Members**

Dr. Lebensohn stated that the two new members from radiology are unable to attend TEPC meetings and have asked to be excused from TEPC. As chair, she can appoint or bring to the group new members who will cover the vacated positions until June. TEPC members are encouraged to give name suggestions to Dr. Lebensohn or Jerie Schulz. This does not require a vote.

**2. Back to Basic Science – Dr. Bear presented his ideas for the new, required Back to Basic Science course (previously approved by TEPC) that will be offered in the second semester of the fourth year. The pass/fail course is intended to assist students with background basic and clinical knowledge for their residencies including reading, evaluating and interpreting primary literature to become expert learners in their field; practicing communicating with peers and patients in their specialties; and serving as role models and mentors for basic science. It will be a four week course, offered between January-March (prior to match), beginning with Winter 2022.**

The face-to-face components will encompass student specialty groups meeting weekly, sharing online resources and interfacing with key clinicians about basic science topics appropriate to their specialty; attending one grand round, and giving a final presentation of online learning modules by choosing one mini-meta review and preparing a 10-minute online learning module to present to the group for feedback.

The ePortfolio components will consist of three mini-meta reviews where students identify a clinical question and write a paper, three grand round reviews, an online learning module with MCQ quiz, and professional development reflections.

The next steps are to continue meeting with stakeholders (including students and residents) to gather ideas for the course, assemble a course planning committee, and recruit faculty facilitators for the course.

Discussion included: TEPC members like the plan and believe it will offer 4<sup>th</sup> year students robust, meaningful experiences. It will be offered during a time when society mentors can be involved. Dr. Smith said this program could be built into the chief resident program. The course will stay at one, four-week block. The self-directed learning component will allow students flexibility with their time and methods of interaction. TEPC students like the idea of clinicians being there, and that the course will be active learning, not passive. Dr. Cho offered to assist Dr. Bear in creating course rubrics.

3. Transition to Residency Bootcamp – Dr. Partha introduced the new Transition to Residency Boot Camp. The current focus is to initially develop a three-day elective course for the week before graduation (May 30-May 2) that will run 9am-12pm and 1pm-3pm. Eventually, the goal is for the program to become mandatory program and longer format. There are currently 41 students who have expressed interest in the program. There will be a number of topics, including fluids and electrolytes, teaching and feedback, financial well-being, ICU and nursing staff, medication mistakes, radiology, effective consultation, time management, emergency medicine, OB/GYN, simulations, running codes, surgical technical skills, physician wellness, how to give bad news/coding, practical writing discharge/auth notes, and break-out groups. She hopes in the future they can add more practical skills on placing IV's, healing arts, and coding/billing.

Discussion: Dr. Smith recommended that Dr. Partha talk to all program directors, as most programs have "what to know" books that can be used as a resource. This timing of the course will not affect students who do not go to graduation as it will take prior to that event.

4. Course Remediation Policy – During the Nov. 28, 2018 TEPC meeting, the subject of changing the Course Remediation Policy, which was previously voted on and approved by TCMS to present to TEPC, was submitted. Following the discussion, TEPC returned the topic to TCMS with a list of questions to answer. TCMS complied and provided a document with written responses for TEPC consideration.

Currently, our remediation policy is if a student fails a course, they have the option of remediating the course over the summer. If they fail the remediation, nothing appears on their transcript because the course was already failed and remediation is not a separate course. If they pass remediation, it appears on their transcript as a failure of the course and a pass in remediation.

Discussion: Dr. Lebensohn stated the requested change issues focus on if the course is or is not two separate courses, how the pass or failure of remediation is listed on a student's transcript, and if remediation is extra work for the block director and they should they get compensated. If remediation is viewed as the same course and a student fails it, then they have failed the same course twice and are at risk of dismissal for two failures. If a student fails two different courses in the same year, they cannot remediate and must repeat the year. If a student fails three different courses throughout their medical school years, then they are at risk of dismissal. This is a good opportunity to look at how failures are documented. A student survey was distributed and out of 48 responses, 77% of students are not in favor of changing the remediation policy and view it as "just another excuse to dismiss students." Deputy Dean Moynahan expressed that he is against changes to the existing policy, and that if the issue of grades on transcripts needs to be reviewed then that can take place. The Student Affairs and Curricular Affairs associate deans concurred. The transcript issue is a separate item that can be addressed.

A vote was taken, and TEPC agreed not to support any changes to the Course Remediation Policy, and views that remediation is just remediation of a course, and is not a course in itself.

Meeting ended at 6:00pm.

## Policy Template

### University of Arizona College of Medicine

#### Tucson Campus

<p><b>Subject:</b> <i>Please indicate the subject of the policy. Review existing policies in this area to determine appropriate subject. Please indicate the target group for this policy (e.g., students, faculty)</i></p>	<p><b>Current language: Automatic Dismissal</b> <b>New language: Eligible for Dismissal</b></p>
<p><b>Policy Title:</b> <i>Please provide a draft title for the policy.</i></p>	<p><b>Grading and Progression Policy</b></p>
<p><b>Justification:</b> <i>Please provide a brief summary as why this policy is needed.</i></p>	<p>The change in policy will bring consistency in the language used throughout the entire Grading and Progression Policy document when referring to removing “automatic” in reference to dismissal.</p>
<p><b>Plain Language Intent:</b> <i>Describe the intent of the policy in plain language statement.</i></p>	
<p><b>Originator:</b> <i>Please identify the individual writing and or shepherding this policy</i></p>	<p><b>Dr. Patricia Lebensohn</b></p>
<p><b>Responsible Office:</b> <i>If approved, identify the office or unit with primary responsibility for implementing this policy.</i></p>	<p><b>Curricular Affairs</b></p>
<p><b>Approval Committee:</b> <i>Please identify the committee who will review this policy (e.g., EPC, TEPC, PEPC, or Student Affairs)</i></p>	<p><b>TEPC</b></p>
<p><b>Approval Date:</b> <i>If/when approved by the appropriate committee, please indicate the approval date.</i></p>	<p><b>February 6, 2019</b></p>
<p><b>Effective Date:</b> <i>Please indicate your proposed effective date. Policies governing all students should be effective immediately. Policies governing portions of the curriculum should consider current students.</i></p>	<p><b>Immediately (February 6, 2019) for all cohorts</b></p>
<p><b>Scheduled Review Date:</b> <i>The review data should be two-years post the original effective date</i></p>	<p><b>February 6, 2021</b></p>

**Policy Statement:**

On Feb. 7, 2018, with an effective date for AY 2018-2019, the language to the Grading and Progression Policy, Section Three, B was changed to remove “automatic” when referencing dismissal from the COM in the Timing & Completion of USMLE Examinations. This language was not carried throughout the Grading and Progression Policy and must be changed throughout the entire Grading and Progression document to maintain consistency in COM policy.

Following consultation with Elizabeth Miller, it was advised that the phrasing read: *“eligible for dismissal”* would be more accurate.”

She went in to say that she would “generally advise against making a change mid-year, in this case, the change is not substantive and is bringing policy language in line with practice.”

**The current policy language reads:**

- “automatic dismissal” or “automatically dismissed”

**The proposed policy language:**

- “eligible for dismissal”

**Policy Template**  
**University of Arizona College of Medicine - Tucson Campus**

<p><b>Subject:</b> <i>Please indicate the subject of the policy. Review existing policies in this area to determine appropriate subject. Please indicate the target group for this policy (e.g., students, faculty)</i></p>	<p><b>Grading and Progression Policy, Section Five, 1.f: Dismissal From the College of Medicine</b></p>
<p><b>Policy Title:</b> <i>Please provide a draft title for the policy.</i></p>	<p><b>“Excluding medical leaves of absence.”</b></p>
<p><b>Justification:</b> <i>Please provide a brief summary as why this policy is needed.</i></p>	<p>No student should be penalized because of his/her/their medical problems which delayed the ability to graduate on time. As a Medical School, we should understand the burden of illness and make allowances as needed.</p>
<p><b>Plain Language Intent:</b> <i>Describe the intent of the policy in plain language statement.</i></p>	<p>The proposal is for Section Five, 1.f. The language would affect the 6 year limit and EXCLUDE medical leaves of absence, effective immediately.</p>
<p><b>Originator:</b> <i>Please identify the individual writing and or shepherding this policy</i></p>	<p><b>Dr. Larry Moher</b></p>
<p><b>Responsible Office:</b> <i>If approved, identify the office or unit with primary responsibility for implementing this policy.</i></p>	<p><b>Curricular Affairs</b></p>
<p><b>Approval Committee:</b> <i>Please identify the committee who will review this policy (e.g., EPC, TEPC, PEPC, or Student Affairs)</i></p>	<p><b>TEPC</b></p>
<p><b>Approval Date:</b> <i>If/when approved by the appropriate committee, please indicate the approval date.</i></p>	
<p><b>Effective Date:</b> <i>Please indicate your proposed effective date. Policies governing all students should be effective immediately. Policies governing portions of the curriculum should consider current students.</i></p>	<p><b>Immediately (February 6, 2019)</b></p>
<p><b>Scheduled Review Date:</b> <i>The review data should be two-years post the original effective date</i></p>	<p><b>February 6, 2021</b></p>

### **Policy Statement:**

The proposed changes to the policy in Section Five, 1.f, mirrors the policy changes in Section Three, 1-b approved in the fall 2018 regarding taking Step 1 exam within 3 years of matriculation "EXCLUDING medical leaves of absence". In addition, **it would make the policy effective immediately.**

### **The current policy reads:**

SECTION FIVE: DISMISSAL FROM THE COLLEGE OF MEDICINE

Approved date: August 3, 2016

Effective date: August 3, 2016

Approved February 21, 2018

f) The student fails to academically progress within the required timeframes. (in effect with the Class of 2019) All students must satisfactorily complete Years 1 & 2 of the M.D. degree and take the USMLE Step 1 Exam within three years of the date of matriculation. A student will be deemed to have completed Year 2 upon an initial attempt at the USMLE Step 1 Exam. Year 3 academic progress may halt if a student fails the USMLE Step 1 Exam but will not toll the required graduation timeframe. All M.D. degree students must satisfactorily complete all graduation requirements six years from the original date of matriculation. **All time limits are inclusive of leaves of absence,** the repeat of an academic year, and retakes or remediation of any course, exam, or other requirement.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The March 2016 revisions to Section 1(e) of this policy are effective with students matriculating in Fall 2016. All students that matriculated prior to Fall 2016 are held to the February 2012 policy, which required graduation within 6 years of matriculation, **inclusive of all leaves of absence.**

### **The proposed policy would read:**

SECTION FIVE: DISMISSAL FROM THE COLLEGE OF MEDICINE

Approved date: August 3, 2016

Effective date: August 3, 2016

Approved February 21, 2018

f) The student fails to academically progress within the required timeframes. (in effect with the Class of 2019) All students must satisfactorily complete Years 1 & 2 of the M.D. degree and take the USMLE Step 1 Exam within three years of the date of matriculation. A student will be deemed to have completed Year 2 upon an initial attempt at the USMLE Step 1 Exam. Year 3 academic progress may halt if a student fails the USMLE Step 1 Exam but will not toll the required graduation timeframe. All M.D. degree students must satisfactorily complete all graduation requirements six years from the original date of matriculation. All time limits are **exclusive of medical leaves of absence, and inclusive of other leaves of absence,** the repeat of an academic year, and retakes or remediation of any course, exam, or other requirement.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The March 2016 revisions to Section 1(e) of this policy are effective with students matriculating in Fall 2016. All students that matriculated prior to Fall 2016 are held to the February 2012 policy, which required graduation within 6 years of matriculation, inclusive of all leaves of absence.

### **Required Website Information**

Please indicate the appropriate **AUDIENCE** and **TOPIC** for this policy. This checklist mirrors the required tagging when the policy is uploaded to **medicine.arizona.edu**.

<b>AUDIENCE</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Alumni</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Current Students</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	MD Students
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 <sup>st</sup> Year
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 <sup>th</sup> Year
<input type="checkbox"/>	Visiting
<input type="checkbox"/>	Undergraduate Students
<input type="checkbox"/>	Graduate Students
<input type="checkbox"/>	International Students
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Employees</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Appointed Personnel
<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tenure Eligible
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specialty Advisor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Society Mentor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Mental Health Counselor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-tenure-eligible
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tenured
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clinical
<input type="checkbox"/>	Special Professors
<input type="checkbox"/>	Emeritus
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Staff</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Classified staff
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Independent Contractor</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Student Employees</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Affiliates and Associates</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Researchers</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fellows
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Physicians</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Residents
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Department Head</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Learning to Lead Participant</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	2014 Cohort
<input type="checkbox"/>	2012 Cohort
<input type="checkbox"/>	2010 Cohort
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>General Public</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>News Media</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Prospective Students</b>
<b>TOPICS</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Admissions</b>

	<b>Awards</b>
	<b>Career Advising</b>
	MSPE
	Residency Advice for MD Students
	Specialties
	<b>Committees</b>
	<b>Conflict of Interest</b>
	<b>Diversity</b>
	<b>Faculty Mentorship</b>
	<b>Finance</b>
	Accounts
	Budgets
	Commitment Funding
	Payroll
	Property Management
	Purchasing
	Travel
	University of Arizona Foundation
	<b>Graduate Medical Education</b>
	General Policies
	Hiring
	Applying
	Benefits
	Program Evaluation
	Resident/Fellow Evaluation
	Scholarship
	<b>Human Resources</b>
	Benefits
	Dismissal
	Job Application Requirements
	New Faculty Orientation
	Recruitment
	Tuition
	Vacation and Leave
	<b>Learning to Lead</b>
	<b>Main Campus Policy</b>
	<b>MD Curriculum</b>
	Attendance and Absence
	Blocks and Courses
	Clerkships
	Distinction Tracks
	Electives
	Grading and Progression
	Graduation Requirements
	Pre-2013 Phoenix Curriculum
	Selectives

	Specialty Advisors
	Student Handbook
	<b>Organization</b>
	<b>Professionalism and Integrity</b>
	<b>Promotion and Tenure</b>
	Annual Reviews
	Clock Stop
	Joint Appointments
	Mid-Cycle Reviews
	Emeritus Status
	Sabbatical Leave
	Faculty Track Changes
	Title Approval
	<b>Research</b>
	Clinical Research
	Contract Review Process
	Material Transfer Agreement
	Clinical Trial Agreement
	Core Services
	Frontiers in Medical Research Seminars
	Proposal Routing Process
	Public Access
	Research Budget
	Signature Requirements
	Risk Management
	Space and Facilities
	Student Health
	Technology